



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,928	02/20/2004	Connie Li Sun	034536-0829	9693
75	90 11/25/2005		EXAM	INER
Stephen D. Prodnuk, Esq.			TUCKER, ZACHARY C	
Pfizer, Inc.	•		·	
Pfizer La Jolla Labs			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
10777 Science Center Drive			1624	
San Diego, CA 92121			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/781,928	SUN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zachary C. Tucker	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1Sep04</u>.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to imidazopyrazines compounds, classified in class/subclass 544/350.
- II. Claims 8-16, drawn to methods of treating divers diseases and conditions, and a pharmaceutical composition employed for that purpose, classified in class/subclass 514/249.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use/ related sub-product not. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case conditions treated by methods in Group II are treatable by materially different means, such as various cancers being treated with cytotoxic agents. The sub-product of claim 8 has been included in Group II because of the similar classification of the pharmaceutical composition of that claim and the methods also included in Group II. Compounds according to Group I are not limited in their utility to only active ingredients in pharmaceutical compositions, as the paragraph bridging pages 42 and 42 of the instant specification (section [0126]), teaches.

So, a search required for simple disclosures of chemical compounds in Group I is not co-extensive with the search required for disclosures of methods and

pharmaceutical compositions according to Group II. A search required to determine compliance with the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, is not required for Group I. Such a search will entail a survey of the medical literature relating the use of certain kinase inhibitors in the treatment of disease, at the time the invention was made.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This Requirement is further set forth as follows:

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Because all of substituents R<sub>1</sub> through R<sub>6</sub> are defined in expansive terms, the search required for claim 1, absent this additional Requirement for an Election of Species, would pose an undue burden on the examiner.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 1624

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Upon election of a single disclosed species for examination, the search will proceed as described in MPEP 803.02, under "Markush Practice." The search will not be unnecessarily broadened.

## Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because no generic structure of the compounds disclosed in the application is provided. As such, the abstract does not provide a concise description of the claimed and disclosed subject matter; the abstract is no more descriptive than the title of the application. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Zachary Tucker whose telephone number is (571) 272-0677. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Thursday from 8:00am to 4:30pm or Monday from 6:00am to 1:30pm. If Attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, contact the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, at (571) 272-0661.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,928

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

. Page 5

 $\frac{zt}{2}$  02